

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                  | FILING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/802,223                                       | 03/17/2004       | Martin John Founds   | 198/41728               | 3541             |
| 279  | 7590 10/05/200   | EXAMINER             |                         | INER             |
|  | , BUSHNELL, GIAN | PASCUA, JES F        |                         |                  |
| BLACKSTONE & MARR, LTD.<br>105 WEST ADAMS STREET |                  |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| SUITE 3600                                       |                  |                      | 3782                    |                  |
| CHICAGO, IL 60603                                |                  |                      | DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/802,223  | FOUNDS, MARTIN JOHN  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jes F. Pascua   | 3727   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING THE MAILING THE METERS OF THE MAILING THE MAILING THE METERS OF THE | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from . cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,  | action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45   | 53 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | r election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 17 March 2004 is/are:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | kaminer. Note the attached Oπice  | Action of form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>  |   | )-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li></ul>  |   | ion No   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Burea  | rity documents have been receive  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | of the certified copies not receive   | ed.  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/1/04</li> </ul>  | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3727

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the sleeves formed from a plastics laminate (claim 2), each of the sleeves having inner projections (claim 5) and the rods comprised of a metal inner rod surrounded by a plastics sheath (claim 12) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3727

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification lacks an adequate written description of the manner in which the upper edges of the pocket is welded into two tubular sleeves. The specification also lacks an adequate written description of the manner in which the sleeves are provided with webbing additives and what particular webbing additives enhance strength and tear resistance. Furthermore, the specification lacks an adequate written description of manufacturing a metal rod surrounded by a plastics sheath.

Claims that have not been specifically mentioned are rejected since they depend from claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/802,223 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The functional recitation that the projections are "adapted to engage said inserted rod to retain said rod n its sleeve, whilst permitting rod-to sleeve movement as and when required" is indefinite because it is not supported by recitation in the claim of sufficient structure to accomplish the function.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 3,255,758 to Gauche and U.S. Patent No. 5,275,439 to Hawes, Jr. et al.

Gauche discloses the claimed device except for the file being made with synthetic plastics material. Hawes, Jr. et al. discloses that it is known in the art to provide an analogous file with a laminate of synthetic plastics material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the file of Gauche with the synthetic plastics material of Hawes, Jr. et al., in order to increase the file's wear resistance.

Application/Control Number: 10/802,223 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

Regarding claim 3, laminating the file of Gauche with the synthetic plastics material of Hawes, Jr. et al. meets the recitation "said sleeves provided with webbing additives" to the same degree applicant sets forth the metes and bounds of the claim.

Regarding claim 5, rivets 13 meet the recitation "said sleeves has inner projections".

Regarding claims 9 and 10, Gauche and Hawes, Jr. et al. disclose the claimed invention, as discussed above, except for the rods 2 being made of steel or aluminum alloy. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use steel or aluminum alloy of the rods of Gauche, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

Regarding claims 13 and 14, Gauche and Hawes, Jr. et al. disclose the claimed invention, as discussed above, except for the rod being oval. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the rod of Gauche oval or whatever form or shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/802,223

Art Unit: 3727

9. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jes F. Pascua whose telephone number is 571-272-4546. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jes F. Pascua Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3727

**JFP**